

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,837	06/29/2006	Tuan Quoc Ly	29610/CDT386	3759
	7590 02/10/201 GERSTEIN & BORUN	EXAMINER		
233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE 6300 WILLIS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			MABRY, JOHN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE 02/10/2011	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/549,837	LY, TUAN QUOC
Review		Art Unit
Review	John Mabry	1625
		-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief	Request for Review filed 18 January 2011.
 Improper Request – The Requereason(s): 	est is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include in	peen filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. easons why a review is appropriate. uded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ontinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under a is required to submit an appeal brief in brief will be reset to be one month fron running from the receipt of the notice of	peals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been opeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the R 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-13, 15-17 Claim(s) withdrawn from conside	e status of the claim(s) is as follows:
	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A confe action will be mailed. No further action	rence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Janet L. Andres.	(3) <u>Jon Epperson</u> .
(2) John Mabry.	(4) <u>Rita Desai</u> .
/Janet L. Andres/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1625	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office